arXiv:1505.04379v2 [math.ST] 3 Sep 2015

Functional Analysis of Variance for Hilbert-Valued Multivariate Fixed Effect Models

The final version of this draft will be published in Statistics.

M.D. Ruiz-Medina

Department of Statistics and O.R.
Faculty of Sciences
Campus Fuente Nueva s/n
University of Granada
18071, Granada
e-mail: mruiz@ugr.es (corresponding author)

Abstract

This paper presents new results on Functional Analysis of Variance for fixed effect models with correlated Hilbert-valued Gaussian error components. The geometry of the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) of the error term is considered in the computation of the total sum of squares, the residual sum of squares, and the sum of squares due to the regression. Under suitable linear transformation of the correlated functional data, the distributional characteristics of these statistics, their moment generating and characteristic functions, are derived. Fixed effect linear hypothesis testing is finally formulated in the Hilbert-valued multivariate Gaussian context considered.

Keywords: Fixed effect model, Gaussian measure on a separable Hilbert space, Hilbert-valued Gaussian random vector, Linear hypothesis testing, Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

2000 MSC: 60G15; 60G20; 62J10; 62H10; 62H15

---

1. Introduction

An extensive literature on functional data analysis techniques emerges in the last few decades. In particular, in the functional linear regression context, one can refer to the papers [11]; [12]; [13]; [15]; [16]; [21]; [44], among others. We particularly refer to the flexible approach recently presented in [30] to approximate the regression function in the case of a functional predictor and a scalar response, based on the Projection Pursuit Regression principle. Specifically, an additive decomposition, which exploits the most interesting projections of the prediction variable to explain the response, is derived. This approach can be used as an exploratory tool for the analysis of functional dataset, and the dimensionality problem is overcome. In the functional nonparametric regression framework we refer to [31] and [32], among others. Asymptotic results, in particular, uniform consistency, in the purely nonparametric context are derived in [45] for a kNN generalized regression estimator. For more details we refer to the reader to the nice summary on the statistics theory with functional data in [20], and the references therein. New branches of the functional statistical theory in a univariate and multivariate framework are collected in [7].

Functional Analysis of Variance (FANOVA) extends the classical ANOVA methods, allowing the analysis of high-dimensional data with a functional background. Due to the vast existing literature on functional data statistical analysis techniques, FANOVA models have recently gained popularity and related literature has been steadily growing. For comprehensive reviews we refer, for example, to [49] and [57]. In particular, FANOVA model fitting and its component estimation have been addressed in several papers (see [5]; [35]; [39]; [41]; [42]; [46]; [58], among others).

In the context of hypothesis testing from functional data, [29] discussed the difficulties of generalizing the ideas of multivariate testing procedures to the functional data analysis context. A powerful overall test for functional hypothesis testing, based on the decomposition of the original functional data into Fourier and wavelet series expansions, is proposed in [27]. In this paper, the adaptive Neyman and wavelet thresholding procedures of [26] are respectively applied to the resulting empirical Fourier and wavelet coefficients. The general philosophy of the presented methodology exploits the sparsity of the signal representation in the Fourier and wavelet domains, allowing a significant dimension reduction. Somewhat similar approaches were considered in [24] and [25]. In [36] a maximum likelihood ratio based test is suggested for functional variance components in mixed-effect FANOVA models. The procedures presented in [27] are applied to the mixed-effect FANOVA model in [56]. An alternative asymptotic approach, inspired in classical ANOVA tests, is derived in [19], for studying the equality of the functional means from k independent samples of functional data. In [1] and [2] the testing problem in the mixed-effect functional analysis of variance models is addressed, developing asymptotically optimal (minimax) testing procedures for the significance of functional global trend, and the functional fixed effects, from the empirical wavelet coefficients of the data (see also [6]). Statistical shape analysis methods are applied in [48] to developing a neighborhood hypothesis testing procedure to establish that a mean is in a specified δ-neighborhood. Recently, in the context of functional data defined by curves, considering the L^2-norm, an up-to-date overview of hypothesis testing methods for functional data analysis is provided in [61], including functional ANOVA, functional linear models with functional responses, heteroscedastic ANOVA for functional data, and hypothesis tests for the equality of covariance functions, among other related topics.

Most of the above-cited papers are based on dimension reduction techniques, applying numerical projection and smoothing methods. Classical ANOVA results are then considered for projections in an univariate and multivariate framework. For example, smoothing splines ANOVA (SS-ANOVA) has the restriction of considering the time as an additional factor which implies independence in time. This restriction was removed in [10] and [35], using the RKHS theory. This theory is also applied in the present paper to remove independence assumption on the functional zero-mean Gaussian error vector term. Since the aim of this paper is to provide explicit results within the infinite-dimensional probability distribution setting, we restrict our attention to the Gaussian case. It is well-known that Gaussian measures on Hilbert spaces can be identified with infinite products of independence real-valued Gaussian measures (see, for example, [22]). Hence, one can work with infinite series of independent real-valued random variables. Indeed, such an identification, jointly with the acute formulation of Cramér-Wold theorem derived in [18], can be applied to implement the multiway ANOVA methodology for functional data proposed in [17], which does not require the Gaussian assumption although the hypothesis of independence is maintained (see [22]). Here, we also apply the results in [22], on characteristic functions of quadratic functionals constructed from Gaussian measures on Hilbert spaces, for the derivation of the probability distribution of the functional components of variance, and of the test statistics formulated for linear hypothesis testing.

In all above-cited papers, a Hilbert-valued formulation of the traditional analysis of variance has tended to be missing, since the Functional Analysis of Variance derived in [64]. Specifically, in [64], the following $L_2([0,1])$-valued fixed effect model is considered:

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}(t) + \sigma\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(t), \quad t \in [0,1], \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = (x_{ij})$ is a $n \times p$ fixed effect design matrix. The response $\mathbf{Y}$ is a n-dimensional vector of independent Gaussian $L_2([0,1])$-valued components with $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and with $L_2([0,1])$ denoting the space of square integrable functions on $[0,1]$. The unknown functional parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}(t)$ takes its values in the space $L_2^p([0,1])$ of vectorial functions with square integrable components on the interval $[0,1]$. The error term $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is an n-dimensional $L_2([0,1])$-valued zero-mean Gaussian random variable with covariance matrix operator

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left[[\varepsilon_1(\cdot),\dots,\varepsilon_n(\cdot)]^T[\varepsilon_1(\cdot),\dots,\varepsilon_n(\cdot)]\right] = \mathrm{diag}(R) \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} E[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1] & ,\dots, & E[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_n] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ E[\varepsilon_n \otimes \varepsilon_1] & ,\dots, & E[\varepsilon_n \otimes \varepsilon_n] \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $[\cdot]^T$ denotes transposition, $\mathrm{diag}(R)$ is a diagonal matrix operator with non-null functional entries, in the diagonal, given by the compact and self-adjoint operator R, defined on $L_2([0,1])$, i.e., $E[\varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_j] = \delta_{i,j}R$, with δ denoting the Kronecker delta function. Here, σ represents a scale parameter. Note that, in the Gaussian case, $E\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|^2_{H^n} = n(\mathrm{trace}(R)) < \infty$, with $\mathrm{trace}(\cdot)$ denoting the trace of an operator, which implies that R is in the trace class (see, for example, [22], Chapter 1).

This paper extends the results derived in [64] to an arbitrary Hilbert space H (not necessarily given by $L_2([0,1])$), and to the case where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ has correlated H-valued zero-mean Gaussian components. Specifically, a generalized least-squares estimator of H^p-valued parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is obtained. The functional mean-square error is computed in the RKHS norm. It is proved that, for an orthogonal fixed effect design matrix, the statistics minimizing the functional quadratic loss function takes its values in the functional parameter space H^p. The analysis developed here is referred to a common orthonormal eigenvector system, which is assumed to be known, providing the spectral diagonalization of the covariance operators of the error components. This assumption is satisfied, for example, by the system of stochastic differential or pseudodifferential equations introduced in Section 2.1, with fixed effect H-valued parameters. In this case, the common orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of H can be determined from the differential or pseudodifferential operators defining such a system of equations (see Section 2.1 below).

Another important issue addressed in this paper is the construction of a matrix operator providing a suitable functional linear transformation of our observed H^n-valued response (see Section 4.1), in order to ensure the almost surely finiteness of the total sum of squares, the sum of squares due to regression, and the residual sum of squares. Under this transformation, the moment generating and characteristic functionals of these three statistics are derived. Linear hypothesis testing is also addressed, in terms of a suitable matrix operator class defining a linear transformation of the H-valued components of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, to test some contrasts.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the analyzed Hilbert-valued multivariate Gaussian fixed effect model with correlated error components. In Section 3, the generalized least-squares estimator of the H^p-valued fixed effect parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is derived, providing sufficient conditions for the almost surely finiteness of its H^p-norm. The transformed functional data model is constructed in Section 4. The almost surely finiteness of the functional components of variance is then proved. Their moment generating and characteristic functionals are derived in Section 5. Linear hypothesis testing is addressed in Section 6 in a multivariate Hilbert-valued Gaussian framework. Final comments are provided in Section 7.

2. The model

Let H be a real separable Hilbert space endowed with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$. Consider the following Hilbert-valued multivariate fixed effect model:

$$\mathbf{Y}(\cdot) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot) + \sigma\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\cdot), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{Y}(\cdot) = [Y_1(\cdot), \dots, Y_n(\cdot)]^T$ is an H^n-valued Gaussian random variable, with $E[\mathbf{Y}] = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$. The H^n-valued error term $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\cdot) = [\varepsilon_1(\cdot), \dots, \varepsilon_n(\cdot)]^T$ is such that $E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}] = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$, and has covariance matrix operator

$$\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = E\left[[\varepsilon_1(\cdot), \dots, \varepsilon_n(\cdot)]^T [\varepsilon_1(\cdot), \dots, \varepsilon_n(\cdot)]\right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} E[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_1] & ,\dots, & E[\varepsilon_1 \otimes \varepsilon_n] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ E[\varepsilon_n \otimes \varepsilon_1] & ,\dots, & E[\varepsilon_n \otimes \varepsilon_n] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_1} & ,\dots, & R_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ R_{\varepsilon_n\varepsilon_1} & ,\dots, & R_{\varepsilon_n\varepsilon_n} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (4)$$

where $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i}$ $i = 1, \dots, n$, are compact and self-adjoint operators on H, in the trace class. As before, σ represents a scale parameter. In the subsequent development, we assume that $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i}$ $i = 1, \dots, n$, are strictly positive. For $i \neq j$, with $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j}$ denotes the cross-covariance operator between ε_i and ε_j. Here, $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot) = [\beta_1(\cdot), \dots, \beta_p(\cdot)]^T \in H^p$, and $\mathbf{X}$ is a real-valued $n \times p$ matrix, the fixed effect design matrix.

Remark 1 *Note that the Gaussian error term of model (3) has covariance matrix operator (4), which in the particular case of* $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j} \equiv \mathbf{0}$, *for* $i \neq j$, *and* $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, *and* $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i} = R$, *for* $i = 1, \dots, n$, *coincides with the covariance operator (2) of the error term in (1), as given in [64]. Thus, equation (3) provides an extension of model (1), going beyond the space* $L^2([0, 1])$, *and the independence and homoscedastic assumptions.*

Remark 2 *Further research can be developed considering the non-linear and non-gaussian case, and a more flexible class of design matrices. Fixed design assumption is very common in the standard multiple (finite-dimensional) regression theory, as well as it can be justified in the functional regression setting (see, for example, [20]). Partial linear regression is formulated in the functional setting in [3], displaying a better performance than the functional linear regression and functional nonparametric regression (see, for example, [4]; [62]; [63]). Recent advances have extended the linear approach by combining it with link functions, considering multiple indices. But this approach still requires to be improved. The authors in [14] introduce a new technique for estimating the link function in a nonparametric framework. An approach to multi-index modeling using adaptively defined linear projections of functional data is proposed, which enables prediction with polynomial convergence rates. In [34], the framework of functional regression modeling with scalar response is considered. The unknown regression operator is approximated in a semi-parametric way through a single index approach. Possible structural changes are taken into account in the presented approach. In particular, non-smooth* *functional directions and additive link functions are used for managing ruptures by applying Single Index Model.*

In the subsequent development, the following assumption is made:

Assumption A0. The auto-covariance operators $R_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i}$, $i = 1, \dots n$, admit a spectral decomposition in terms of a common complete orthogonal eigenvector system $\{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ defining in H the resolution of the identity $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k$. Let $\{\eta_{ki},\ k \geq 1\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be the standard Gaussian random variable sequences such that $\{\langle \varepsilon_i, \phi_k \rangle_H = \sqrt{\lambda_{ki}} \eta_{ki},\ k \geq 1\}$, with $R_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i} \phi_k = \lambda_{ki} \phi_k$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. The following orthogonality condition is assumed to be satisfied:

$$E[\eta_{ki} \eta_{pj}] = \delta_{k,p}, \quad k, p \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{5}$$

where δ denotes, as before, the Kronecker delta function.

Remark 3 ***Assumption A0*** *provides a semiparametric definition of the elements of the class of covariance matrix operators characterizing the correlation structure of the functional vector error term. Specifically, these elements admit an infinite series representation in terms of a sequence of finite-dimensional matrices* $\{\mathbf{\Lambda}_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ *(the parametric part), introduced in equation (16) below, with respect to a resolution of the identity of the Hilbert space* H *(the non-parametric part), given by* $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$.

Under **Assumption A0**, the following orthogonal expansions hold for ε_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, in terms of their common covariance operator eigenvector system $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$:

$$\varepsilon_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_{ki}} \eta_{ki} \phi_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{6}$$

In addition, from (6), using the assumed orthogonality condition (5)

$$R_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j} = E\left[\varepsilon_i \otimes \varepsilon_j\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_{ki} \lambda_{kj}} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{7}$$

Hence, the covariance matrix operator (4) can be rewritten as:

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k1}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_{k1}\lambda_{kn}]^{1/2}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\lambda_{kn}\lambda_{k1}]^{1/2}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{kn}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k \end{array} \right]. \tag{8}$$

2.1. ***Example***

An example of zero-mean Gaussian H^n-valued random variable $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ satisfying **Assumption A0** is now constructed considering the space $H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Specifically, define $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ as the $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)]^n$-valued zero-mean Gaussian solution, in the mean-square sense, of the system of stochastic fractional pseudodifferential equations

$$f_i(\mathcal{L})\varepsilon_i = \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is an elliptic fractional pseudodifferential operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of positive order $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, whose inverse belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt operator class on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and f_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are continuous functions. Here, ϵ_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are zero-mean Gaussian H-valued random variables such that $\eta_{ki} = \langle \phi_k, \epsilon_i \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, $k \geq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying the orthogonality condition (5). From spectral theorems on functional calculus for self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space H (see, for example, [23], pp. 112-126), the covariance operators $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i} = [f_i(\mathcal{L})]^{-1}[[f_i(\mathcal{L})]^{-1}]^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, with A^* denoting the adjoint of operator A, admit a spectral kernel representation in terms of the eigenvectors $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ of operator $\mathcal{L}$ in the following form: For $i = 1, \dots, n$, and for $h \in H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i}(h)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_i(\lambda_k(\mathcal{L}))|^{-2} \langle \phi_k, h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{10}$$

where $\lambda_k(\mathcal{L})$, $k \geq 1$, are the eigenvalues of operator $\mathcal{L}$. In addition, under the orthogonality condition (5), for $h \in H = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j}(h)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_i(\lambda_k(\mathcal{L}))f_j(\lambda_k(\mathcal{L}))|^{-1} \langle \phi_k, h \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{11}$$

Let us now fix some notation and preliminary results for the subsequent development. By $\mathcal{H} = H^n$ we will denote, as before, the Hilbert space of vector functions in H^n with the inner product $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle f_i, g_i \rangle_H$, for $\mathbf{f} = [f_1, \dots, f_n]^T, \mathbf{g} = [g_1, \dots, g_n]^T \in \mathcal{H}$. Given an orthonormal system $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ of H, we denote by $\mathbf{\Phi}^* = (\Phi_k^*)_{k\geq 1}$, with $\Phi_k^* : H^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the projection operator into such a system of the components of any vector function $\mathbf{f} \in H^n$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{f}) &= (\Phi_k^*(\mathbf{f}))_{k\geq 1} = (\mathbf{f}_k)_{k\geq 1} \\ &= \left((\langle f_1, \phi_k \rangle_H, \dots, \langle f_n, \phi_k \rangle_H)^T \right)_{k\geq 1} = \left((f_{k1}, \dots, f_{kn})^T \right)_{k\geq 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The inverse operator $\mathbf{\Phi}$ of $\mathbf{\Phi}^*$ satisfies $\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{\Phi}^* = \mathbf{I}_{H^n}$, i.e., $\mathbf{\Phi} : [l^2]^n \longrightarrow H^n$, is given by

$$\mathbf{\Phi}\left(\left((f_{k1}, \dots, f_{kn})^T \right)_{k\geq 1} \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{k1}\phi_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{kn}\phi_k \right)^T, \quad (13)$$

for all $\left((f_{k1}, \dots, f_{kn})^T \right)_{k\geq 1} \in [l^2]^n$. Let us consider a matrix operator $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ with functional entries defined by the operators $A_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{kij} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k$, with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{kij}^2 < \infty$, and $A_{i,j}(f)(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{kij} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle_H \langle g, \phi_k \rangle_H, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$, for $f, g \in H$. Then, the following notation will also be used

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^* \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \mathbf{\Phi} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{k11} & \cdots & \gamma_{k1n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \gamma_{kn1} & \cdots & \gamma_{knn} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{k\geq 1}. \quad (14)$$

Reciprocally, given an infinite sequence of $n\times n$ matrices $\left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{k11} & \cdots & \gamma_{k1n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \gamma_{kn1} & \cdots & \gamma_{knn} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{k\geq 1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{\Phi} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{k11} & \cdots & \gamma_{k1n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \gamma_{kn1} & \cdots & \gamma_{knn} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{k\geq 1} \mathbf{\Phi}^* \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k11} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k1n} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{kn1} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \cdots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{knn} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus, from (8), considering (14)–(15),

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^* \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}} \mathbf{\Phi} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{k1} & \cdots & \sqrt{\lambda_{k1}\lambda_{kn}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{kn}\lambda_{k1}} & \cdots & \lambda_{kn} \end{bmatrix} \right)_{k\geq 1} = (\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)_{k\geq 1}, \quad (16)$$

and from equations (12) and (13),

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}(\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{g}) &= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{g}) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\mathbf{f})(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\mathbf{g})\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\langle g_1,\phi_k\rangle_H,\dots,\langle g_n,\phi_k\rangle_H]\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k[\langle f_1,\phi_k\rangle_H,\dots,\langle f_n,\phi_k\rangle_H]^T\\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{g}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\mathbf{f}_k = \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{f}), \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{g})\right\rangle_{H^n}, \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{f}$, $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{H} = H^n$, with $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} := (\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2})_{k\geq 1}$, $\mathbf{g}_k = (g_{k1},\dots,g_{kn})^T$ and $\mathbf{f}_k = (f_{k1},\dots,f_{kn})^T$, for every $k \geq 1$. Thus, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)_{k\geq 1} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}$. Note that from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\lambda_{ki}\lambda_{kj}]^{1/2} \leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{ki}\right]^{1/2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{kj}\right]^{1/2} < \infty, \quad i,j = 1,\dots,n, \qquad (18)$$

since $E\|\varepsilon_i\|_H^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{ki} < \infty$, for $i = 1,\dots,n$, and hence, $R_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_i}$, $i = 1,\dots,n$, are positive self-adjoint trace covariance operators, i.e., $\lambda_{ki} > 0$, $k \geq 1$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{ki} < \infty$, for $i = 1,\dots,n$. Consequently,

$$\operatorname{trace}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{trace}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) < \infty. \qquad (19)$$

From equation (17), for every $\mathbf{f} = (f_1,\dots,f_n)^T \in \mathcal{H} = H^n$,

$$\mathbf{g}(\cdot) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{f}_k\right)_{k\geq 1}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}). \qquad (20)$$

Hence, $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{g}) = \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{f}_k\right)_{k\geq 1}$, and

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1/2}\right)_{k\geq 1} : \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H},\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}\mathbf{g} = (\mathbf{f}_k)_{k\geq 1}. \qquad (21)$$

Lemma 1 *The inverse* $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}$ *of the matrix covariance operator satisfies*

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\psi})(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_k, \qquad (22)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})$*. Equivalently,* $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}$ *is such that*

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\right)_{k\geq 1}. \tag{23}$$

Proof. From equations (17) and (20), $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H}) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}(H^{n}))$. In particular, for every $\boldsymbol{\psi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})$, there exist $\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{H} = H^{n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\psi} &= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\mathbf{f} \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} &= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{*}\mathbf{g}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

From equations (17), (21) and (24)

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}^{*}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}(\boldsymbol{\psi})(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) &= \langle \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}(\boldsymbol{\psi}), \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\rangle_{H^{n}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\mathbf{g}_{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{k}^{T}\mathbf{g}_{k} = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\rangle_{H^{n}} = \mathbf{I}_{H^{n}}(\mathbf{f})(\mathbf{g}) = \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\psi})(\boldsymbol{\varphi}), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\mathbf{I}_{H^{n}}$ denotes the identity operator on H^{n}. From (24) and (25), equations (22) and (23) are obtained.

Remark 4 *In the following development we will assume that the eigenvector system* $\{\phi_{k},\ k \geq 1\}$ *and the matrix sequence* $\{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k},\ k \geq 1\}$ *are known. Thus, we address the problem of least-squares estimation of* H^{p}*-valued parameter* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$*, under suitable conditions on the fixed design matrix* $\mathbf{X}$ *(see Section 3). In the case where* $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ *is defined by a system of stochastic partial differential or pseudodifferential equations (see Subsection 2.1), equations (10)–(11) show that the functional entries of the covariance matrix operator (4) are given in terms of the eigenvector system of operator* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$ *(which is known), and the eigenvalues of* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}$*, transformed by the continuous functions* f_{i}*,* $i = 1, \dots, n$*, defining the system of stochastic differential or pseudodifferential equations (9). Covariance operator estimation has been addressed in [8], [38] and [43].*

3. Generalized least-squares estimation in the RKHS norm

It is well-known (see, for example, [22], pp. 12-13) that the RKHS $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$ of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is defined as the closure of $R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{1/2}(\mathcal{H})$ in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}}$ induced by $R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}$.

From Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}} = \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= \boldsymbol{\Phi}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}))(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^*(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\mathbf{Y}_k - [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k\right]^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \left[\mathbf{Y}_k - [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)\|^2_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}}, \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}$$

where, as before $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k) = [\mathbf{Y}_k - [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k] = \Phi_k^*(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$, $k \geq 1$. Equation (26) is minimized if and only if, for each $k \geq 1$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}}$ of $\varepsilon_k(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)$ is minimized. For each $k \geq 1$, the minimizer of $\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_k(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)\|^2_{\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}}$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$ is given by the generalized least squares estimator

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k = (\widehat{\beta}_{k1}, \dots, \widehat{\beta}_{kp})^T = (\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k, \quad k \geq 1. \qquad (27)$$

Hence, the corresponding approximation of the functional vector parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is obtained from (27) by considering

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}((\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_k)_{k\geq 1}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widehat{\beta}_{k1}\phi_k, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\widehat{\beta}_{kp}\phi_k\right)^T. \qquad (28)$$

Under **Assumption A0**, equations (26)–(28) provide a statistics minimizing the functional mean-square error, computed in the RKHS norm of $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. This statistics will define an estimator of parameter $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, hence, a generalized least squares estimator, if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\widehat{\beta}^2_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k\right]^T\left[(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k\right] < \infty, \qquad (29)$$

i.e., if $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in H^p$, with H^p being the functional parameter space where $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ takes its values. The following proposition provides a sufficient condition that ensures that the statistics given in (28) is a generalized least-squares estimator for functional parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Proposition 1 *If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} < \infty, \qquad (30)$$

then, equation (29) is satisfied a.s. Consequently, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ *in equation (28) defines a generalized least-squares estimator for* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

Proof. Under condition (30), since $\|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{H^p} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_k < \infty$, we obtain

$$E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\widehat{\beta}^2_{ki}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left(\mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$$

$$+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\boldsymbol{\beta}_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right) + \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{H^p} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1} + \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{H^p} < \infty, \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the well-known formula

$$E[\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{y}] = \mathrm{trace}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{V}) + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T\mathbf{Q}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \qquad (32)$$

for a given symmetric matrix $\mathbf{Q}$, but not necessarily positive definite, with $\mathbf{y}$ being a random vector whose mean is $\boldsymbol{\mu}$, and whose variance-covariance matrix is $\mathbf{V} = E[\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T] - \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^T$ (see, for example, [37], [54]). Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{p}\widehat{\beta}^2_{ki} < \infty$ a.s., and in particular $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \in H^p$ a.s.

Remark 5 *The sufficient condition (30) restricts our class of fixed effect design matrices to those ones preserving the trace property (19) of the infinite series of matrices* $\{\Lambda_k,\ k \geq 1\}$. *In particular, in the case where* $\mathbf{X}$ *is the identity matrix* $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) < \infty$.

Also, if $\mathbf{X}$ *is a unitary matrix such that* $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{I}$, *then, condition (30) assumed in Proposition 1 is also satisfied, since*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right) < \infty.$$

4. Functional analysis of variance for the transformed data model

Let us first compute the residual error sum of squares **SSE**. From definition of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ in equations (27) and (28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} &= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\left(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k)\right)_{k\geq 1}\right)\\
&= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)\mathbf{Y}_k\right)_{k\geq 1}\right)\\
&= \boldsymbol{\Phi}\left((\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{Y}_k)_{k\geq 1}\right) \qquad (33)\\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k(1,i)\mathbf{Y}_{ki}\right]\phi_k,\ldots,\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k(n,i)\mathbf{Y}_{ki}\right]\phi_k\right)^T,
\end{aligned}$$

where, as before, $\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}$ is the $n\times n$ identity matrix.

From Lemma 1 and (33), the residual error sum of squares (**SSE**) is computed in the geometry of the RKHS of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{SSE} &= \left\langle \mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}},\mathbf{Y}-\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}\right\rangle_{R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}} = \left\langle \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}},\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right\rangle_{R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}}\\
&= R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\left(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{Y}_k]^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{Y}_k, \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}$$

where, for each $k\geq 1$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k$ is given in equation (33). Note that $\langle \mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\rangle_{R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}}\sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}X_k,\quad X_k\sim\chi^2(n),\ k\geq 1$. In addition, since, under **Assumption A0**, from Lemma 1, the total sum of squares (**SST**) is given by

$$\mathbf{SST}=\langle\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{Y}\rangle_{R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}}=R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y})(\mathbf{Y})=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{Y}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{Y}_k, \qquad (35)$$

it follows that the first two moments of **SST** are not finite, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\mathbf{SST}] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)+\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}=\infty\\
\mathrm{Var}\left(\mathbf{SST}\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}2\mathrm{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)+4\boldsymbol{\beta}^T\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}=\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we consider a linear transformation of the functional vector $\mathbf{Y}$ in equation (3) to ensure that the corresponding total sum of squares ($\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$) is almost surely finite. Denote by $\mathbf{W} : H^n \longrightarrow H^n$ such a transformation, thus

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{Y}(\cdot) = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}(\cdot) + \mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\cdot). \tag{36}$$

4.1. Conditions on $\mathbf{W}$ *for a.s. finiteness of functional variance components*

In the construction of the functional entries of $\mathbf{W}$, we consider the resolution of the identity in H given by $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k$, with $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ denoting, as before, the common eigenvector system of the covariance operators $R_{\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Note that such eigenvectors are assumed to be known (see Remark 4). Specifically, the weight matrix operator $\mathbf{W}$ will be of the form

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{k11}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{k1n}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{kn1}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_{knn}\phi_k \otimes \phi_k \end{bmatrix}. \tag{37}$$

For the almost surely finiteness of the total sum of squares from equation (36), $\mathbf{W}$ must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) < \infty \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

with $\Phi_k^* \mathbf{W} \Phi_k = \mathbf{W}_k = (w_{kij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$, for each $k \geq 1$. A sufficient condition for (38) to hold is that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) < \infty, \tag{39}$$

since from equation (19), $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right) < \infty$. In addition, we restrict out attention to the matrix operators satisfying (37)–(38) and admitting an inverse matrix operator $\mathbf{W}^{-1} : H^n \longrightarrow H^n$ with $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_{H^n}$.

One can easily check that the total sum of squares $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$ for model (36) is almost surely finite under (38), i.e.,

$$E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right) + \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k < \infty.$$

For illustration purposes, we briefly describe a simple way for the construction of weight matrix operator $\mathbf{W}$ satisfying (39).

4.1.1. Construction of $\mathbf{W}$

Let us start assuming as given in Remark 4 that $\{\phi_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ and $\{\mathbf{\Lambda}_k,\ k \geq 1\}$ are known. Then, for each $k \geq 1$, we consider the spectral diagonalization of matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$ in terms of its eigenvectors $\{\psi_{ki},\ i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and eigenvalues $\{\omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k),\ p = 1, \dots, n\}$. That is, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_k = \mathbf{\Psi}_k \mathbf{\Omega}_k(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) \mathbf{\Psi}_k^T, \tag{40}$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega}_k(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$ is a diagonal matrix whose non-null elements are $\omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$, $p = 1, \dots, n$, and where $\mathbf{\Psi}_k^T \mathbf{\Psi}_k = \mathbf{I}_{n \times n}$, with $\mathbf{\Psi}_k$ having as columns the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$. For each $k \geq 1$, matrix $\mathbf{W}_k$ can then be constructed in terms of matrix $\mathbf{\Psi}_k$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{W}_k = \mathbf{\Psi}_k \mathbf{\Omega}_k(\mathbf{W}_k) \mathbf{\Psi}_k^T, \tag{41}$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega}_k(\mathbf{W}_k)$ is a diagonal matrix whose non-null elements $\omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k)$, $p = 1, \dots, n$, satisfy the following condition: As $k \to \infty$,

$$\omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) = \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-\frac{\widetilde{\rho}(p) + \varrho(p)}{2}}\right), \tag{42}$$

with $\varrho(p) > 1$, for every $p = 1, \dots, n$, and $\omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) \geq C(k, p)$, with $C(k, p) = \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-\widetilde{\rho}(p)}\right)$, $k \to \infty$, $p = 1, \dots, n$. A direct example of matrix $\mathbf{\Omega}_k(\mathbf{W}_k)$ is obtained from condition (42) by considering $\omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) = k^{-\frac{\widetilde{\rho}(p) + \varrho}{2}}$, with $\varrho > 1$, for $p = 1, \dots, n$, and for each $k \geq 1$.

We can also simplify the conditions required in the construction of $\mathbf{W}$ by the choice of parameter $\widetilde{\rho}(p)$ independently of p, with $\varrho > 1$. Since from (19), $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) < \infty$, let, for each $k \geq 1$, $\mu_k(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) = \min_{p=1,\dots,n} \omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)$, where $\mu_k(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k) \geq \widetilde{C}(k)$, with $\widetilde{C}(k) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\rho})$, for certain $\rho > 1$, as $k \to \infty$, one can consider

$$\max_{p=1,\dots,n} \omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) \leq M k^{-\frac{\rho + \varrho}{2}}, \quad \rho > 1,\ \varrho > 1, \tag{43}$$

for certain $M > 0$. Note that parameter ρ also characterizes the order of divergence of the sequence $\widetilde{\mu}_k(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}) = \max_{p=1,\dots,n} \omega_p\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)$, $k \geq 1$, since, for each $k \geq 1$, the eigenvalues $\omega_p\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)$, $p = 1, \dots, n$, of $\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}$ are given by $\omega_p\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right) = \frac{1}{\omega_p(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)}$, $p = 1, \dots, n$, $k \geq 1$.

4.2. Almost surely finiteness of the functional components of variance

Proposition 2 *Under **Assumption A0**, and conditions (30) and (42) (respectively, (43)), for* $\mathbf{W}$ *satisfying* $\mathbf{W}_k = \mathbf{W}_k^T$*, we have* $E[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}] < \infty$*. Consequently,* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}$ *is almost surely finite.*

The proof of this result is given in Appendix A.

The sum of squares due to regression ($\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$) for the transformed data model is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}} &= \widetilde{\mathbf{SST}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}} = R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}})(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}) - R_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{WX}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}} - \mathbf{WX}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{Y}_k - \mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{Y}_k \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Y}_k^T [\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k \mathbf{W}_k] \mathbf{Y}_k. \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

For the almost surely finiteness of the expected sum of squares due to regression it is sufficient to consider

$$\omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) = \mathcal{O}\left(k^{-(\widetilde{\rho}(p)+\varrho(p))}\right), \quad k \to \infty, \qquad (45)$$

in equation (42) (respectively to consider $\max_{p=1,\ldots,n} \omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) \leq M k^{-\rho+\varrho}$, for $\rho > 1$ and $\varrho > 1$, in (43)). In particular an example of matrix operator $\boldsymbol{W}$ can be constructed from the identity $\omega_p(\mathbf{W}_k) = k^{-\widetilde{\rho}(p)+\varrho(p)}$, for $p = 1, \ldots, n$, and $k \geq 1$, where $\widetilde{\rho}(p)$ and $\varrho(p)$ are given as in Section 4.1.1. This construction of $\mathbf{W}$ ensures that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right) < \infty$, leading, under suitable additional conditions, to $E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}] < \infty$, as given in the following proposition.

Proposition 3 *Under **Assumption A0**, and conditions (30) and (45), for* $\mathbf{W}$ *satisfying* $\mathbf{W}_k = \mathbf{W}_k^T$*, we have* $E[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}] < \infty$*. Consequently,* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}$ *is almost surely finite.*

The proof of this result is given in the Appendix B.

Finally, the almost surely finiteness of $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$ follows from Propositions 2 and 3, as proven in Appendix C.

5. Infinite-dimensional distribution of the functional components of variance

This section provides the moment generating and characteristic functionals of the statistics $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$.

5.1. Moment generating functions of the variance components

The following result establishes sufficient conditions for the existence of the moment generating functionals of the statistics $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$, in the transformed functional data model.

Theorem 1 *Let us consider that **Assumption A0**, and equation (30) are satisfied. Assume also that* $\mathbf{W}$, *constructed in (41), is strictly positive definite, and that equation (45) to hold. Furthermore, for each* $k \geq 1$, *the elements of the eigenvalues systems*

$$\{\xi_i\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right),\ i=1,\dots,n\},$$
$$\{\xi_i\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right),\ i=1,\dots,n\},$$
$$\{\xi_i\left(\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k-\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right),\ i=1,\dots,n\}$$

of matrices $\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$, $\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$, *and* $\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k-\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$, *respectively, are considered to be strictly less than one. Then, the moment generating functions of* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}$ *and* $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}$ *are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}}(t/2) = E[\exp(t/2(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}))] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-t\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\right]^{-1/2} \\
&\times\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-t\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k)^{-1}\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}}(t/2) = E[\exp(t/2(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}))] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[det \left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
&\times \exp \Bigg(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - (\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} \\
&\qquad\qquad - t\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1} \big) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{47}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&M_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}}(t/2) = E[\exp(t/2(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}))] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[det \left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
&\times \exp \Bigg(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - (\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} \\
&-t \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1} \big) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \big) .
\end{aligned}
\tag{48}
$$

Proof. We will apply that for a $n \times 1$ Gaussian vector $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, the moment generating function of $\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}$ admits the following expression (see, for example, [37], pp. 600-608):

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\exp(t(\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}))] &= \left[\det \left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2t \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \\
&\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - (\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2t \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma})^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) .
\end{aligned}
\tag{49}
$$

In addition, under **Assumption A0**, the elements of the sequences

$$
\mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{Y}_k, \quad k \geq 1,
\tag{50}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{Y}_k, \quad k \geq 1
\tag{51}
$$

are mutually independent. Then, for each $k \geq 1$, applying (49) to $n \times 1$ Gaussian vector $\mathbf{Y}_k \sim \mathcal{N}([\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)$, and to matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}^k_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}} &= \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \\
\mathbf{A}^k_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}} &= \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \\
\mathbf{A}^k_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}} &= \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{52}
$$

playing the role of matrix $\mathbf{A}$, for each element of the infinite series defining $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$, respectively, we obtain equations (46), (47) and (48) from the independence of the elements of the sequences (50) and (51).

In equation (46), the infinite product $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\right]^{-1/2}$ is finite since it provides the negative square root of the Fredholm determinant of operator $\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}$ at point t. From condition (45), operator $\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}$ is in the trace class (see also equation (42) and Appendix A). Hence, its Fredholm determinant is finite for

$$t < \frac{1}{\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{53}$$

(see, for example, [55], Chapter 5, pp. 47-48, equation (5.12)).

In a similar way, it can be proved in equation (47) that the infinite product $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\right]^{-1/2}$ is finite, since it provides the negative square root of the Fredholm determinant of operator $\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}$, at point t. Note that, again, from (45), under (30), operator

$$\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}$$

is in the trace class (see Appendix B), and hence, its Fredholm determinant is finite for

$$t < \frac{1}{\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{54}$$

(see, for example, [55], Chapter 5, pp. 47-48, equation (5.12)).

Finally, in equation (48), the negative square root of the Fredholm determinant at point t of the trace operator

$$\left(\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\right)\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon},$$

is given by

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\right]^{-1/2},$$

which is finite for

$$t < \frac{1}{\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\right)\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right)} \tag{55}$$

(see, for example, [55], Chapter 5, pp. 47-48, equation (5.12)).

We now study the finiteness of the second factor at the right-hand side of equations (46), (47) and (48), given in terms of negative exponential functions. Specifically, in equation (46), consider

$$\begin{aligned} t \ < \ K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}} &= \frac{1}{\max_{k\geq 1;\ i=1,\dots,n} \xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)} \\ &\times \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 - \max_{k\geq 1;\ i=1,\dots,n} \xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where, as before, for each $k \geq 1$, $\xi_i(\mathbf{A}_k)$ denotes the ith eigenvalue of $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}_k$, appearing in the series representation of a matrix operator $\boldsymbol{A}$ defined on $\mathcal{H} = H^n$, such that $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^* \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Phi} = (\mathbf{A}_k)_{k\geq 1}$. Since

$$K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}} \leq \frac{1}{\xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 - \xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)}\right],$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $k \geq 1$, for $t < K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - (\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - t\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)^{-1}\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\boldsymbol{\Psi}_k^T [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k]_i^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 - t\xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)}\right] \xi_i \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\boldsymbol{\Psi}_k^T [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k]_i^2 \left[1 - \right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 - \xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)}\right] \xi_i \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)}\right] \xi_i \left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right), \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where, for each $k \geq 1$, $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_k$ is the projection operator into the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k$, appearing in equation (40). Also, for each $k \geq 1$, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$, $[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_k^T [\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k]_i$ denotes the ith projection of the $n \times 1$ vector $[\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k$ with respect to the ith eigenvector of $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k$. Note that we have applied that, for each $k \geq 1$, $\mathbf{W}_k$ has been constructed from the same eigenvector system as $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k$ (see equations (40) and (41)). In particular, $\xi_i\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right) = \xi_i\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \xi_i\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)$. Finally, equation (57) is finite from condition (45), which implies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) < \infty.$$

From equations (53) and (56), denoting

$$\mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}^* \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}\right)}, \tag{58}$$

we have that $M_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}(t)$ is finite for every $t < \min\{K_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}, \mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}\}$. An analytic continuation argument (see [47], Th. 7.1.1) guarantees that $M_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}(t)$ defines the unique limit moment generating function for all real values of t.

Similar arguments to equation (46) can be applied for the proof of the finiteness of the second negative exponential factors in equations (47) and (48), as well as for the existence of the moment generating functions given in such equations. The details can be left to the reader, since they can be obtained straightforward from the above-described steps by replacing, for each $k \geq 1$, matrix $\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k$ by matrix $\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k$, in the case of equation (47), and, in equation (48), replacing it by matrix

$$\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k.$$

5.2. Characteristic functions of the variance components

In the derivation of the results in this section, we apply Proposition 1.2.8 of Chapter 1, p.14, in [22], where the characteristic function of quadratic forms defined in terms of symmetric operators, and Hilbert-valued Gaussian random variables is provided. This result is formulated in Lemma 2 below, for the special case where the Hilbert space considered is $\mathcal{H} = H^n$.

Lemma 2 *Let* $\mathbf{Y}$ *be an* $\mathcal{H}$*-valued zero-mean Gaussian random variable with trace covariance matrix operator* $\mathbf{R_{YY}}$*. Let* $\mathbf{M}$ *be a symmetric matrix operator on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Assume that* $\|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < 1$*, where* $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})}$ *denotes the norm in the space of bounded linear operators on* $\mathcal{H}$*. Then, for* $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{H}$*,*

$$E\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{MY}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Y}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\right)\right] = \left[det\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}$$
$$\times \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\right)^{-1/2}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{YY}}^{1/2}\mathbf{b}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right\}. \tag{59}$$

The next result establishes sufficient conditions for the explicit definition of the characteristic functionals of $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$.

Theorem 2 *Under* ***Assumption A0****, and conditions (30) and (45), the following assertions hold:*

(i) The characteristic functional of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}$ *is defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}}(i\omega) &= E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SST}}\right)\right] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \\ &\times \exp\left(-4\omega^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}\right.\right. \\ &\qquad \left.\left. -2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

(ii) The characteristic functional of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}}(i\omega) &= E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSR}}\right)\right] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\times \exp\Bigg(-4\omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \times \left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)^{-1} \\
&\qquad \times \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\Bigg) \\
&\times \exp\left(i\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{61}
$$

(iii) The characteristic functional of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&F_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}}(i\omega) = E\left[\exp\left(i\omega \widetilde{\boldsymbol{SSE}}\right)\right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \Bigg[det\Big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.- \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\Big)\Bigg]^{-1/2} \\
&\times \exp\Bigg(-4\omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad \left.- \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \times \Big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad \left.- \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\Big)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\Bigg) \\
&\quad \times \exp\Bigg(i\omega \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. \times \mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\Bigg).
\end{aligned}
\tag{62}
$$

The proof can be found in Appendix D.

6. Linear functional hypothesis testing

Consider the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \ \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C},$$

where $\mathbf{C} \in H^m$, and $\mathbf{K}$ is an matrix operator from H^p into H^m satisfying

$$\mathbf{K} \ = \ \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & \dots & K_{1p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K_{m1} & \dots & K_{mp} \end{bmatrix}, \ K_{ij}(f)(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(K_{ij}) \left\langle \phi_k, g \right\rangle_H \left\langle \phi_k, f \right\rangle_H \quad (63)$$

for $f, g \in H$, and for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $j = 1, \dots, p$, in terms of the eigenvectors ϕ_k, $k \geq 1$, of the functional entries of $\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$. Thus, we restrict out attention to test some contrasts on the functional components of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, in terms of the class of matrix operators $\mathbf{K}$ such that, for each $l \geq 1$, $\Phi_l^* \mathbf{K} \Phi_l = \mathbf{K}_l$,

$$\mathbf{K}_l = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_l(K_{11}) & \dots & \lambda_l(K_{1p}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \lambda_l(K_{m1}) & \dots & \lambda_l(K_{mp}) \end{bmatrix}_{m \times p}. \quad (64)$$

Remark 6 *Since the developed Functional Analysis of Variance in a multivariate context is referred to the orthogonal basis of* H, $\{\phi_k, \ k \geq 1\}$, *which is assumed to be known (see Remark 4 and Section 2.1), a natural way of defining possible linear transformations* $\mathbf{K}$ *of our functional parameter vector* $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in H^p$, *to test some contrasts, is given by (64), with* $\Phi_l^* \mathbf{K} \Phi_l = \mathbf{K}_l$, *for* $l \geq 1$.

Lemma 3 *The generalized least squares estimator* $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ *defined in equations (27)–(28) satisfies* $\Phi_k^*(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \sim \mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^*(\boldsymbol{\beta}), (\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1})$, *for each* $k \geq 1$. *Under condition (30), this estimator is a Hilbert-valued Gaussian random variable (*H^p*-valued random variable), with functional mean* $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ *and trace covariance operator* $\mathbf{Q}$ *such that, for each* $k \geq 1$, $\Phi_k^* \mathbf{Q} \Phi_k = (\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}$. *Equivalently,*

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{k1} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{k1p} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{kp1} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k & \dots & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{kp} \phi_k \otimes \phi_k \end{bmatrix},$$

where, for each $k \geq 1$, q_{ki} *represents the* i*th diagonal element of matrix* $(\mathbf{X}^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, p$, *and* q_{kij} *and* q_{kji}, *with* $q_{kij} = q_{kji}$, *denote its* (i, j) *and* (j, i) *entries,* $i \neq j$, *and* $i, j = 1, \dots, p$.

The proof directly follows from classical generalized least-squares theory (see, for example, [37] and [53]), and from condition (30) (see, for example, [22], pp.8-17, Chapter 1).

Note that $\Phi_l^*(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}_l$ represents a $m \times 1$ vector for each $l \geq 1$. Hence, for each $k \geq 1$, $\Phi_k^*(\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = [\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta}]_k = \mathbf{C}_k$. As a direct consequence of Lemma 3, the following result is considered (see, for example, [37] and [53]).

Lemma 4 *Under the null hypothesis* $H_0 : \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}$, *for each* $l \geq 1$,

$$[\Phi_l^*\mathbf{K}\Phi_l\Phi_l^*\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \Phi_l^*\mathbf{C}]^T[\Phi_l^*\mathbf{K}\Phi_l(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_l^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}[\Phi_l^*\mathbf{K}\Phi_l]^T]^{-1}\Phi_l^*\mathbf{K}\Phi_l\Phi_l^*\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \Phi_l^*\mathbf{C},$$

follows a chi-squared distribution with m *degrees of freedom.*

Lemma 4 allows the application of an extended version of the finite-dimensional hipothesis testing approach for functional data proposed in [17], based on the formulation of Cramér-Wold theorem derived in [18]. In our multivariate infinite-dimensional one-way ANOVA, the random vectors should be selected from a Gaussian distribution on the Hilbert space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = H^m$ with non-degenerate m-dimensional projections.

The next proposition allows the application of Lemma 2 for the formulation of a functional linear test.

Proposition 4 *Assume that condition (30) holds, and that matrix operator* $\mathbf{K}$ *is such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}\mathbf{K}^T\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^n)=\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} < 1. \tag{65}$$

Then, under the null hypothesis $H_0 : \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}$, *the test statistic*

$$\left\langle \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}=H^n} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l)^T\mathbf{K}_l^T\mathbf{K}_l(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l),$$

has characteristic functional given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\left\langle \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}=H^n}\right)\right] \\
&= E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l)^T\mathbf{K}_l^T\mathbf{K}_l(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l)\right)\right] \\
&= \prod_{l=1}^{\infty}\left[det\left(\mathbf{I}_{p\times p} - 2i\omega(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_l^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}\mathbf{K}_l^T\mathbf{K}_l(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_l^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}. \qquad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, as before, $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l = \Phi_l^*(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, *and* $\Phi_l^*\mathbf{K}\Phi_l = \mathbf{K}_l$, *for each* $l \geq 1$.

The proof of Proposition 4 directly follows from Lemmas 2 and 3, under condition (65), considering $\mathbf{M} = 2i\omega\mathbf{K}^{*}\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}$ in Lemma 2.

Theorem 3 *Assume that the conditions considered in Proposition 4 hold. Then, for testing* $H_0 : \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}$ *versus* $H_1 : \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} \neq \mathbf{C}$, *at level* α, *there exists a test* ψ *given by:*

$$\psi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad S_{H_0}(\mathbf{Y}) > C(H_0, \alpha), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, $S_{H_0}(\mathbf{Y}) = \left\langle \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \mathbf{C} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}=H^n} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l)^T \mathbf{K}_l^T \mathbf{K}_l (\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_l - \boldsymbol{\beta}_l)$. *The constant* $C(H_0, \alpha)$ *is such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{S_{H_0}(\mathbf{Y}) > C(H_0, \alpha), \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}\} &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\{S_{H_0}(\mathbf{Y}) \leq C(H_0, \alpha), \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{C}\} \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{F}_{\alpha} = \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where the probability distribution $\mathbf{F}$ *on* $\mathcal{H} = H^n$ *has characteristic functional given in equation (66) of Proposition 4.*

7. Final comments

Our approach allows the identification of the functional components of variance $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$ with series of independent finite-dimensional random quadratic forms, respectively constructed from a sequence of independent multivariate (n-dimensional) Gaussian random variables (see Theorem 2). The elements of such a multivariate normal sequence respectively define the n-dimensional projections of the infinite-dimensional multivariate Gaussian measure on $\mathcal{H} = H^n$, underlying to our studied Hilbert-valued fixed-effect Gaussian model with correlated error components. New functional hypothesis tests, in the spirit of classical one way F-ANOVA test, can be formulated from such identifications, applying an extended version of the methodology proposed in [17] for independent functional data.

The example given in Section 2.1 can be applied to different practical situations. Specifically, in the geophysical context, the response can be referred to time (respectively, to space), i.e., the response takes its values in a separable Hilbert space of vector functions with temporal support (respectively, with spatial support). In such a case, equation (9) represents the physical law governing the evolution in time of the vectorial random source or multivariate innovation process (respectively, describing the spatial diffusion of the vectorial random source or multivariate spatial innovation), at each station (for example, heat transfer equation at each meteorological station, under different climatological conditions, e.g., considering seasonal factors, location factors, etc.), in the heterocedastic and correlated settings (see, for instance, [60], for a mixed-effect spatiotemporal process formulation applied to meteorology). In human tactile perception (see, for example, [56]), for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, operator $f_i(\mathcal{L})$ can define the movement equation describing the path of the random stimulus applied to the ith subject, under different experimental conditions, which can affect the perception of the subject. Here, the applied random stimuli interact or are correlated between different individuals. Other possible fields of application of the proposed Hilbert-valued Gaussian fixed effect model with functional correlated noise can be found in the statistical analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging data (see, for example, [40], and the references therein), disease mapping (see, for example, [52]), and in spatiotemporal environmental processes (see [59]).

Alternatively, the semi-parametric class of covariance matrix operators introduced in (8) can be reformulated in a more flexible way, by considering an extended definition of the matrix sequence $\{\mathbf{\Lambda}_k,\ k \geq 1\}$, given in (16). Specifically, in (16), a separable correlation structure between random variables $\{\eta_{ki},\ k \geq 1\}$ and $\{\eta_{kj},\ k \geq 1\}$, for $i \neq j$, and $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is considered, ensuring, from equations (18)–(19), that $\mathrm{trace}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right) < \infty$. In a more general framework, we can consider, for $i \neq j$,

$$E[\eta_{ki}\eta_{pj}] = \delta_{k,p} f(\lambda_{ki}, \lambda_{kj}, i, j), \tag{67}$$

with f being a function such that the matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}_k$ is of full rank, for every $k \geq 1$, and condition (19) is satisfied.

Extensions of the formulated results to the framework of Hilbert-valued fixed effect models with autoregressive correlated error components can be obtained from the presented methodology and the results derived in [8] and [9], in the temporal autoregressive case, as well as the results given in [50] and [51], in the spatial autoregressive case. However, in the H-valued multivariate time series framework, the assumption on the existence of a common resolution of the identity could exclude some interesting cases. Hence, further research is required to obtain a more flexible setting of assumptions.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported in part by project MTM2012-32674 (co-funded with FEDER) of the DGI, MEC, Spain

Appendix

In the following, Appendices A–C provide the proof of the almost surely finiteness of $\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$. In addition, Appendix D gives the proof of Theorem 2, and Appendix E shows an example of the Hilbert space structures that can be considered under **Assumption A0**.

Appendix A. Almost surely finiteness of $\widetilde{SST}$

Proof of Proposition 2

Let us denote $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) = \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) = \mathrm{trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right)$. Also, the notation $\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k$ will be used. Applying CauchySchwarz inequality, and Parselval identity, under (42) (respectively, (43)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k\right) + \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) \\
&+ \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2} + \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \\
&\leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right]^2},
\end{aligned}$$

which finite, since, under (42) (respectively, (43)), $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k)]^4 \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{W}_k, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k) < \infty$, and since $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{H} = H^n$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right]^2 \leq \widetilde{C} \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}=H^n} = \widetilde{C} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k < \infty$, for certain positive constants C and $\widetilde{C}$.

Appendix B. Almost surely finiteness of $\widetilde{SSR}$

Proof of Proposition 3

Let us compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\mathbf{Y}_k^T[\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{W}_k]\mathbf{Y}_k\right] = E\left[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right] \\
&-E\left[\|\mathbf{Y}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}}\right] = E\left[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right] - \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^T R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right) - \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^T R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}} \\
&= E\left[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right] - \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) + \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{X},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) - \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^T R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{68}
$$

where $E\left[\|\mathbf{Y}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}}\right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\mathbf{Y}_k^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{Y}_k\right]$, $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^T R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$, $\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}^T R_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\mathbf{W}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$, $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$, and $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{X},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$

Note that, under condition (45), since $\mathbf{W}_k = \mathbf{W}_k^T$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k^{1/2}\right)$ is finite. Moreover, applying again well-known properties of the trace of the product of real and symmetric positive semi-definite matrices (see, for example, [28]), and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{X},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) \leq \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\left(\mathbf{W},\mathbf{X},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1},\mathbf{\Lambda}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left((\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right)\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\text{trace}\left((\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)[\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)]^2\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right)\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right) \\
&\leq \mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right)\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\text{trace}\left((\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)\right]^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^4} \\
&\leq \mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right)\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)\text{trace}\left((\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}^{1/2},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right)} \qquad (69) \\
&\leq \mathcal{K}\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right)\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)]^2\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right)\right]^{1/4}\sqrt{\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}^{1/2},\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right)} < \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

under conditions (30) and (45), where $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Lambda}\right)$ $= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\left(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}, \mathbf{\Lambda}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k\right)$, $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right) = \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T\right)$, $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{trace}\left((\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1}\right)\right]^2$, and $\mathcal{T}\left(\mathbf{W}^{1/2}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^{1/2} \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k^{1/2}\right)$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right)\right]^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right)\right]^4} \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\
&\leq \widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right)} \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty, \qquad (70)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{H} = H^n$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \mathbf{W}_k\right) < \infty$, under condition (45). From equations (68)–(70), keeping in mind that, $E\left[\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right] < \infty$, as given in Appendix A, we have $E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}] < \infty$. Thus, $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}$ is a.s. finite as we wanted to prove.

Appendix C. Almost surely finiteness of $\widetilde{SSE}$

The a.s. finiteness of $\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}$ follows straightforward from Appendix B, since

$$E[\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E\left[\mathbf{Y}_k^T \mathbf{W}_k^T \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}_k \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{Y}_k\right] < \infty, \qquad (71)$$

as given in equations (68)–(70).

Appendix D. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 2. We now provide the main steps involved in the derivation of the characteristic functional of the components of variance for the transformed functional data model.

(i) From Lemma 2, considering as H^n-valued zero-mean Gaussian random variable, $\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and as matrix operator $\mathbf{M} = 2i\omega \mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathbf{W}$, keeping in mind that $\Phi_k^*(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{Y}_k - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$, and $\Phi_k^* \mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1} \mathbf{W} \Phi_k =$

$\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k$, for each $k \geq 1$, we obtain, for $\omega < B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}$, with $B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}$ given in equation (75) below,

$$\begin{aligned}&E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)\right)\right]\\&=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}.\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Hence, for

$$\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{W}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k+\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k+\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}(i\omega)=E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right)\right]=E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2_{\mathbf{W}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}\mathbf{W}}\right)\right]\\&=E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\left\langle\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}),(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\rangle_{H^n=\mathcal{H}}\right.\right.\\&\left.\left.+2i\omega\left\langle\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\right\rangle_{H^n=\mathcal{H}}\right)\right]\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right).\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Applying again Lemma 2 with $\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as H^n-valued zero-mean Gaussian vector, and, as before, $\mathbf{M} = 2i\omega\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}$, and with $\mathbf{b} = 2i\omega\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, keeping in mind that $\Phi_k^*\mathbf{W}\Phi_k = \mathbf{W}_k$, $\Phi_k^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\Phi_k =$

$\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}$ and $\Phi_k^*(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}_k$, for each $k \geq 1$, we obtain from (72) and (73),

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}(i\omega) = E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}\right)\right] = \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2} \\
&\times\exp\left(-4\omega^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\times\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)^{-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\times\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right) \\
&\times\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right) < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

for $\omega < \min\{B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}, (1/2)\mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}\}$, with $\mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}$ being defined as in (58), and

$$B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}} = \frac{1}{2\max_{k,i}\xi_i\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)}, \tag{75}$$

where, as before,

$$\xi_i\left(\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)$$

denotes the ith eigenvalue of matrix $\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and for each $k \geq 1$. An analytic continuation argument (see [47], Th. 7.1.1) guarantees that $F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SST}}}(i\omega)$ defines the unique limit characteristic functional for all values of ω.

(ii) Similarly, from Lemma 2, for suitable ω (see equation (78) below), we have

$$\begin{aligned}E&\left[\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right.\right.\\&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.\times(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k(\mathbf{Y}_k-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k)\right)\right]\\&=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}\right.\right.\\&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.\times(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}.\end{aligned}\tag{76}$$

Applying again Lemma 2 with

$$\mathbf{M}=2i\omega\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W},$$

and with

$$\mathbf{b}=2i\omega\mathbf{W}^*\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},$$

one can get,

$$\begin{aligned}&F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}}(i\omega)=E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}\right)\right]\\&=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}\\&\times\exp\left(-4\omega^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right.\\&\qquad\times\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)^{-1}\\&\qquad\left.\times\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right)\\&\qquad\times\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\right)<\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{77}$$

for $\omega < \min\{B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}}, \mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}}\}$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}} &= \frac{1}{2\mathrm{trace}\left(\mathbf{W}^{*}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}\right)} \\
B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}} &= \frac{1}{2\max_{k,i}\xi_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\right)},
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where, as before, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\xi_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\right)$$

denotes the ith eigenvalue of matrix

$$\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2},$$

for each $k \geq 1$. An analytic continuation argument (see [47], Th. 7.1.1) guarantees that $F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSR}}}(i\omega)$ defines the unique limit characteristic functional for all values of ω.

(iii) From Lemma 2, for suitable ω (see equation (81) below), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\mathbf{Y}_{k}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k})^{T}\left(\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}-\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right.\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.\left.\times\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}\right)(\mathbf{Y}_{k}-\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k})\right)\right]\\
&=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\det\left(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n}-2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}-\mathbf{W}_{k}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right.\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\left.\left.\left.\times\mathbf{X}^{T}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{-1}\mathbf{W}_{k}\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{k}^{1/2}\right)\right]^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

As before, considering again Lemma 2 with

$$\mathbf{M} = 2i\omega\left(\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\right),$$

and with

$$\mathbf{b} = 2i\omega\left(\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{W}^{*}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{T}\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon\varepsilon}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\right)\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta},$$

we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}}(i\omega) = E\left[\exp\left(i\omega\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}\right)\right] \\
&= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\Big[\det\Big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left. -\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\Big)\Big]^{-1/2} \\
&\times\exp\Bigg(-4\omega^2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left. -\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2} \\
&\times\Big(\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} - 2i\omega\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left. -\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\Big)^{-1} \\
&\quad\times\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\Bigg) \\
&\times\exp\Bigg(i\omega\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k^T\mathbf{X}^T\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.\times\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_k\Bigg) < \infty,
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

for $\omega < \min\{B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}}, \mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}}\}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{IT}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}} = \frac{1}{2\mathrm{trace}\left(\left(\mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}^*\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\right)\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon\varepsilon}}\right)} \\
&B_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}} = \\
&\frac{1}{2\max_{k,i}\xi_i\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{81}
$$

where, as before, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\xi_i\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\right)
$$

denotes the ith eigenvalue of matrix

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2}\left(\mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k - \mathbf{W}_k^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{-1}\mathbf{W}_k\right)\mathbf{\Lambda}_k^{1/2},$$

for each $k \geq 1$. An analytic continuation argument (see [47], Th. 7.1.1) guarantees that $F_{\widetilde{\mathbf{SSE}}}(i\omega)$ defines the unique limit characteristic functional for all values of ω.

References

[1] Abramovich, F. and Angelini, C., Testing in mixed-effects FANOVA models. J. Statist. Plann. Inference. 136 (2006) 4326–4348.

[2] Abramovich, F., Antoniadis, A., Sapatinas, T. and Vidakovic, B., Optimal testing in functional analysis of variance models. Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolution Inform. Process. 2 (2004) 323-349.

[3] Aneiros-Pérez, G. and Vieu, P., Semi-functional partial linear regression. Statistics and Probability Letters 76 (2006) 1102–1110.

[4] Aneiros-Pérez, G. and Vieu, P., Nonparametric time series prediction: a semi-functional partial linear modeling. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 99 (2008) 834-857.

[5] Angelini, C., De Canditiis, D. and Leblanc, F., Wavelet regression estimation in nonparametric mixed effect models. J. Multivariate Anal. 85 (2003) 267–291.

[6] Antoniadis, A. and Sapatinas, T., Estimation and inference in functional mixed-effects models. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 51 (2007) 4793-4813.

[7] Bongiorno, E. G., Goia, A., Salinelli, E. and Vieu, P., Contributions in infinite-dimensional statistics and related topics. Societá Editrice Esculapio, Bologna, 2014.

[8] Bosq, D., Linear Processes in Function Spaces. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[9] Bosq, D. and Blanke, D., Inference and Predictions in Large Dimensions. John Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2007.

[10] Brumback, B.A. and Rice, J.A., Smoothing spline models for the analysis of nested and crossed samples of curves. J Am Stat Assoc 93 (1998) 961–994.

[11] Cai, T. and Hall, P., Prediction in functional linear regression. Annals of Statistics 34 (2006) 2159-2179.

[12] Cardot, H., Ferraty, F., Mas, A. and Sarda, P., Testing hypotheses in the functional linear model. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics 30 (2003) 241-255.

[13] Cardot, H. and Sarda, P., Functional linear regression. In: Ferraty,F., Romain,Y. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Functional Data Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 21-46, 2011.

[14] Chen, D., Hall, P. and Muller, H. G., Single and multiple index functional regression models with nonparametric link. Annals of Statistics 39 (2011) 1720–1747.

[15] Chiou, J., Mller, H.G. and Wang, J.L., Functional response models. Statistica Sinica 14 (2004) 675–693.

[16] Crambes, C., Kneip, A. and Sarda, P., Smoothing splines estimators for functional linear regression. Annals of Statistics 37 (2009) 35-72.

[17] Cuesta-Albertos, J.A. and Febrero-Bande, M., Multiway ANOVA for functional data. Test 19 (2010) 537-557.

[18] Cuesta-Albertos, J.A., Fraiman, R. and Ransford, T., A sharp form of the CramrWold theorem. J Theor Probab 20 (2007) 201–209.

[19] Cuevas, A., Febrero, F. and Fraiman, R., An ANOVA test for functional data. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 47 (2004) 111–122.

[20] Cuevas, A., A partial overview of the theory of statistics with functional data. J. Stat. Plan. Infer. 147 (2014) 1–23.

[21] Cuevas, A., Febrero, F. and Fraiman, R., Linear functional regression: the case of fixed design and functional response. Canadian Journal of Statistics 30 (2002) 285-300.

[22] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J., Second Order Partial Differential Equations in Hilbert Spaces. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[23] Dautray, R. and Lions, J.L., Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology, Vol. 3, Spectral Theory and Applications. Springer, New York, 1985.

[24] Dette, H. and Derbort, S., Analysis of variance in nonparametric regression models. J. Multivariate Anal. 76 (2001) 110-137.

[25] Eubank, R.L., Testing for no effect by cosine series methods. Scand. J. Statist. 27 (2000) 747-763.

[26] Fan, J., Test of significance based on wavelet thresholding and Neymans truncation. J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 91 (1996) 674-688.

[27] Fan, J. and Lin, S.-K., Test of significance when data are curves. J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 93 (1998) 1007-1021.

[28] Fang, Y., Loparo, K. A. and Feng, X., Inequalities for the trace of matrix product. IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 39 (1994) 2489–2490.

[29] Faraway, J.J., Regression analysis for a functional response. Technometrics 39 (1997) 254-261.

[30] Ferraty, F., Goia, A., Salinelli, E. and Vieu, P., Functional projection pursuit regression. Test 22 (2013) 293-320.

[31] Ferraty, F. and Vieu, P., Nonparametric Functional Data Analysis: Theory and Practice. Springer, New York, 2006.

[32] Ferraty, F. and Vieu, P., Kernel regression estimation for functional data. In: Ferraty, F., Romain,Y.(Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Functional Data Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 72-129, 2011.

[33] Gelfand, I. M. and Vilenkin, N. J., Generalized Functions, vol. 4: Some Applications of Harmonic Analysis. Rigged Hilbert Spaces. Academic Press, New York, 1964.

[34] Goia, A. and Vieu, P., A partitioned single functional index model. Computational Statistics (2014) DOI: 10.1007/s00180-014-0530-1.

[35] Gu, C., Smoothing Spline ANOVA Models. Springer, New York, 2002.

[36] Guo, W., Inference in smoothing spline analysis of variance. J. R. Statist. Soc. B 64 (2002) 887-898.

[37] Hocking, R.R., Methods and Applications of Linear Models. Regression and the Analysis of Variance, Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley Interscience, John Wiley & Sons, 2003.

[38] Horváth, L. and Kokoszka, P., Inference for Functional Data with Applications. Springer, New York, 2012.

[39] Huang, J.Z., Projection estimation in multiple regression with application to functional ANOVA models. Ann. Statist. 26 (1998) 242–272.

[40] Kang, H., Ombao, H., Linkletter, C., Long, N. and Badre, D. Spatio-spectral mixed effect model for functional magnetic resonance imaging data. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 107 (2012) 568–577.

[41] Kaufman, C.G. and Sain, S.R., Bayesian functional ANOVA modeling using Gaussian processes prior distributions. Bayesian Analysis 5 (2010) 123–150.

[42] Kaziska, D.M., Functional analysis of variance, discriminant analysis, and clustering in a manifold of elastic curves. Communications in Statistics Theory and Methods 40 (2011) 2487-2499.

[43] Kokoszka, P., Dependent functional data, ISRN Probability and Statistics, Vol. 2012. DOI: 10.5402/2012/958254.

[44] Kokoszka, P., Maslova, I., Sojka, J. and Zhu, L., Testing for lack of dependence in the functional linear model. Canadian Journal of Statistics 36 (2008) 1–16.

[45] Kudraszowa, N. L. and Vieu, P., Uniform consistency of kNN regressors for functional variables. Statistics and Probability Letters 83 (2013) 1863–1870.

[46] Lin, Y., Tensor product space ANOVA models. Ann. Statist. 28 (2000) 734-755.

[47] Lukacs, E., Characteristic Functions (Second ed.). Griffin, London, 1970.

[48] Munk, A., Paige, R., Pang, J., Patrangenaru, V. and Ruymgaart, F., The one- and multisample problem for functional data with application to projective shape analisis. J. Multivariate Anal. 99 (2008) 815-833.

[49] Ramsay, J.O. and Silverman, B.W., Functional Data Analysis. Springer, New York, 2005.

[50] Ruiz-Medina, M.D., Spatial autoregressive and moving average Hilbertian processes. J. Multivariate Anal. 102 (2011) 292–305.

[51] Ruiz-Medina M.D., Spatial functional prediction from spatial autoregressive Hilbertian processes. Environmetrics 23 (2012) 119–128.

[52] Ruiz-Medina, M.D., Espejo, R.M., Ugarte, M.D. and Militino, A., Functional time series analysis of spatio temporal epidemiological data. Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Anal. 28 (2014) 943–954.

[53] Ruud, P.A., An Introduction to Classical Econometric Theory. Oxford University Press, 2001.

[54] Schott, J.R., Matrix Analysis for Statistics. Wiley, New York, 1997.

[55] Simon, B., Trace Ideals and Their Applications. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 120. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society 2005.

[56] Spitzner, D.J., Marron, J.S. and Essick, G.K., Mixed-model functional ANOVA for studying human tactile perception. J. Am. Statist. Assoc. 98 (2003) 263-272.

[57] Stone, C.J., Hansen, M., Kooperberg, C. and Truong, Y.K., Polynomial splines and their tensor products in extended linear modeling (with discussion). Ann. Statist. 25 (1997) 1371-1470.

[58] Wahba, G, Wang, Y, Gu, C, Klein, R. and Klein, B., Smoothing splines ANOVA for exponential families, with application to the Winsconsin epidemiological study of diabetic retinopathy. The Annals of Statistics 23 (1995) 1865–1895.

[59] Wikle, C.K. Hierachical models in environmental science. International Statistical Review 71 (2003) 181–199.

[60] Wikle, C.K., Berliner, L.M. and Cressie, N., Hierachical Bayesian space-time models. J. Envir. Ecol. Stat. 5 (1998) 117–154.

[61] Zhang, J.-T., Analysis of Variance for Functional Data. Chapman and Hall, London, 2013.

[62] Zhang, T. and Wang, Q., Semiparametric partially linear regression model for functional data. Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference 142 (2012) 2518-2529.

[63] Zhou, J. and Chen, M., Spline estimators for semi-functional linear model. Statistics and Probability Letters 82 (2012) 505–513.

[64] Zoglat, A., Functional analysis of variance. Applied Mathematical Sciences 2 (2008) 1115–1129.